1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8   UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

9   NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10   OAKLAND DIVISION

11

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,   )   No. CR 09-00982-PJH
12   )
        Plaintiff,   )
13   )
    v.   )
14   )   [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
RANNI K. HILLYER,   )   STIPULATED REQUEST TO
15   )   EXCLUDE TIME UNDER THE
)   SPEEDY TRIAL ACT
16   Defendant.   )
)
17   _____)

18   This matter came before the Court for a status hearing on November 3, 2010. At that

19   hearing, the case was scheduled for a trial commencing on September 19, 2011 before the

20   Honorable Phyllis J. Hamilton, and for a hearing on pretrial motions, if any, on July 13, 2011.

21   The parties agreed, and the Court found, that the time between November 3, 2010, and

22   September 19, 2011, is properly excluded under the Speedy Trial Act, Title 18, United States

23   Code, Sections 3161(h)(7)(A); (h)(7)(B)(ii); and (h)(7)(B)(iv). The parties represent and this

24   Court found that the this delay is necessary to enable defense counsel the reasonable time

25   necessary for effective preparation, given the complexity of this case and the need for continuity

26   of counsel and to effectively prepare for trial. The parties agree that the ends of justice served by

27   granting the requested continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a

28   speedy trial.

1   For the foregoing reasons, the Court HEREBY ORDERS the period between November 3,

2   2010, and September 19, 2011, is properly excluded under the Speedy Trial Act, Title 18, United

3   States Code, Sections 3161(h)(7)(A); (h)(7)(B)(ii); and (h)(7)(B)(iv). The Court finds that the

4   failure to grant the requested continuance would unreasonably deny defense counsel the

5   reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, given the complexity of this case. The Court

6   finds that the ends of justice served by granting the requested continuance outweigh the best

7   interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial and in the prompt disposition of criminal

8   cases.

9   IT IS SO ORDERED

10

11   DATED: November 12, 2010   _____

12   LAUREL BEELER
                                               United States Magistrate Judge

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

2